0100/821/010 1959 H ALBERTA GOVERNMENT LIBRARY
NEIL CRAWFORD PROVINCIAL CENTRE
7000 - 113 STREET, RM 101
EDMONTON, AB T6H 5T6

740-1

Cost of Field Operations in the Prairie Region



Self-propelled combine-harvesting costs on Illustration Stations in 1957 averaged \$2.75 per acre and \$9.08 per hour.

K. H. WALKER DISTRICT AGRICULTURIST STRATHMORE, ALBERTA

CANADA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Ottawa, Ontario

COST OF FIELD OPERATIONS IN THE PRAIRIE REGION

ILLUSTRATION STATIONS DIVISION
EXPERIMENTAL FARMS SERVICE

CANADA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Ottawa, Ontario

FOREWORD

Illustration Stations are private farms of which the owners are co-operating with the Experimental Farms Service in a research and developmental program. To these farm owner-operators, the Experimental Farms Service extends appreciation for their co-operation in this project.

This report is a joint contribution of the following personnel of the Illustration Stations Division: B.J. Gorby, Brandon Experimental Farm; R.E. Laurin and K.E. Bowren, Melfort Experimental Farm; R.N. McIver, Indian Head Experimental Farm; C.H. Keys, Scott Experimental Farm; N.A. Korven and G.K. Harris, Swift Current Experimental Farm; A.D. Smith, Lethbridge Experimental Farm; L.J. Anderson and S.R. Church, Lacombe Experimental Farm; and I.F. Furniss, Central Experimental Farm, Ottawa.

Cover picture by D.A. Duncan, Central Experimental Farm. "Harvesting a crop of creeping red fescue on the farm of H.G. Hadland, Baldonnel, B.C., July 31, 1958." Yield was 600 to 800 pounds per acre.

ILLUSTRATION STATIONS DIVISION
EXPERIMENTAL FARMS SERVICE

CANADA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

CONTENTS

Introd	duction		Page 1
Meth	ods	the implement. Charges include allessances for interest on a	1
Summ	ary of Res	sults	1
	Table 1.	Cost per Acre of Tillage Operations	3
	Table 2.	Cost per Hour of Tillage Operations	4
	Table 3.	Cost per Acre of Seeding and Spraying Operations	5
	Table 4.	Cost per Hour of Seeding and Spraying Operations	6
	Table 5.	Cost per Acre of Hay Harvesting Operations	7
	Table 6.	Cost per Hour of Hay Harvesting Operations	7
	Table 7.	Cost per Acre of Grain Harvesting Operations	8
	Table 8.	Cost per Hour of Grain Harvesting Operations	8
	Table 9.	Effect of Size on Costs of Combine-harvesting (Self-propelled types)	9

2年99年7月8日

COST OF FIELD OPERATIONS IN THE PRAIRIE REGION

Introduction

Data are presented in this report for a total of 41 field operations at 71 Illustration Station farms located in the Experimental Farm districts of: Brandon, Man. (13); Indian Head, Sask. (10); Melfort, Sask. (6); Scott, Sask. (10); Swift Current, Sask. (14); Lethbridge, Alta. (9); and Lacombe, Alta. (9). For certain field operations, the data have been subsorted by type and size of machine. All the data presented were obtained during the crop-year, 1957. The figures presented can be considered to represent the range of costs for most field operations on these station farms at current prices.

Methods

These cost data include charges for both the power unit, if not self-propelled, and the implement. Charges include allowances for interest on average investment at 6 per cent plus depreciation and repairs; the latter are based on the rates established by J.L. Thompson and A. Wenhardt in: Cost Charges for Agricultural Machinery (Western Canada), publication 881, Canada Department of Agriculture. Operating costs for fuel, oil and grease were charged at delivered prices at the farm. Man-labor was charged at \$1.05 per hour at all locations and for all operations. Combine-harvesting costs, as given here, include all costs for operating the machine, plus the tractor when required, but not the costs for hauling grain from the combine-harvester.

The average cost, the standard deviation, and the coefficient of variability (C.V.) for each field operation were calculated. One standard deviation represents the deviation from the average occurring in approximately 68 per cent of all records studied. The "range" is expressed in this report in terms of the average, plus and minus one standard deviation. For the type of data in this study, such a range was considered more meaningful than the complete range which would include some extremely high or low values. The C.V. represents one standard deviation expressed as a percentage of the mean (average). The lower the C.V. value the more uniform the average costs. Where there were five or less observations, the standard deviation and C.V. were not calculated.

Variations in costs between locations were attributed to a number of factors, the more significant ones being size of machine, speed of operation, type of machine and capital cost of machine. More uniformity in type of machines in a group resulted in correspondingly less variation in costs. However, there was a wide variety of machines used for certain categories of field operations; consequently there was a wide variation in cost and a high coefficient of variability. In all cases except binding costs per acre varied more than costs per hour.

Summary of Results

The data are presented in the accompanying nine tables. For each implement, or combination, is given the number of records, average costs, the high and low costs representing 68 per cent of the range of costs and the co-efficient of variability.

In tables 1 and 2, a total of 20 *tillage* operations are presented. These include a number of combined operations for which only a few observations were made. The combined operations resulted in reducing man-labor costs, but increased other charges as larger and more expensive machines were used. On the average, plowing, because of the small area covered per hour, was the most costly tillage operation per acre. The disker, for single operations, was the most expensive per hour, largely because of the high capital cost of the implement and the power unit.

Costs of *seeding* operations are summarized in Tables 3 and 4. These were tabulated for a total of three major machines and nine miscellaneous combinations and types. With the disker, drill, or one-way the costs per acre ranged from a low of \$0.50 with a drill to \$1.32 with a one-way. Costs per hour for one-ways ranged from \$2.62 to \$4.24.

Spraying costs are also given in Tables 3 and 4. These were sorted by three sizes of boom width. A figure for all sprayers is also given. Costs per acre for spraying were the most variable of all field operations considered. The majority of sprayers on farms in this study covered 30 to 33 feet. There were not enough records in the other two size groups to establish significant cost differences.

Costs of $hay\ harvesting$ were obtained for five basic operations with raking costs being sorted by dump or side-delivery type of machine (Tables 5 and 6).

Grain harvesting costs were calculated for three basic operations with swathing and combine-harvesting being subsorted by type of machine. Considerable variability existed within the self-propelled combine-harvester group. Combine-harvesting costs per acre for the three types of machines started off at approximately the same lower limits but self-propelled machines were the most expensive per acre on the average. On an hourly basis, self-propelled combine-harvesters were again the most expensive to operate. Costs to operate a self-propelled swather exhibited a consistently higher trend than for a tractor-operated swather. However, only four observations for the former were available.

An analysis was undertaken to establish if there was any effect from size on costs of operating self-propelled grain combines. Data for this analysis are summarized in Table 9 by four size categories. These data indicate a significant (at the 1 per cent level) inverse relationship between size, as measured by "table width," and costs of operation per acre; as size goes up costs per acre go down. This would be partly the result of factors such as increased cylinder threshing capacity and higher forward speeds for the larger machines. The limited amount of data available does not permit any more specific conclusions and it is likely that other factors than size, using this classification, would also tend to affect costs. It will be noted in Table 9 that the data on the range of costs indicates an overlapping between sizes. It should be noted that grain-combines can usually be obtained in two "table" sizes for the same cylinder capacity. There were no statistically significant differences between the average use of each size group of combines in 1957; hours of use averaged 122 per grain-combine.

Table 1. Cost per Acre of Tillage Operations

Type	of Oper	ation		umbe of ecore	Average of all Records	Extremes of Cost		Coefficient of Variability
36	2,,,,,,,	1208 -	2		\$	\$	\$	%
Blade				13	0.89	1.03	0.75	15.7
Cultivator				57	0.77	0.99	0.55	28.6
Disk, various	types			15	0.80	1.05	0.55	31.2
Disker				39	0.71	0.91	0.51	28.2
Drag Harrow				46	0.30	0.41	0.19	36.7
One-way				33	1.15	1.59	0.71	38.3
Plow				28	1.94	2.68	1.20	38.1
Rod Weeder				16	0.47	0.61	0.33	29.8
Miscellaneou	s:							
Chisel Plo	w			4	0.72	1.01*	0.46*	Chrisel P
Chisel Plo	w with I	Rod We	eder	1	0.52	Ro d Wee	low—with	Clusel P
Cultivator	with Dro	ag Harr	ows	1	1.35	rag -l lane	a st t wa	C d tivate
Cultivator	with Ro	d Weed	er 88. a	1	0.97	abes W bo	A d u w 1	C. d ilvato
Cultivator	with Spi	ikes		2	1.65	pikes	r with S	Cuttivato
Cultivator	with Sw	eeps		2	0.92	weeps	e with S	Cartivate
Drag Harro	w, heav	y duty		1	0.89	vy duty	row, hed	Drug Herr
One-way w	ith Disk	er		1	0.56	-jexis	with Dis	VDW-eMQ
Oscillating	g Harrow	2,95		5	0.41	0.51*	0.27*	imili 7 6
Packer				2	0.34	-	-	Packer
Rod Weede	r with D	rag Ha	WOI	1	0.48	Dred Hor	dilw ville	Hot Week
Spring-toot	h Harrov	Weg		3	0.45	0.55*	0.39*	Spīlng-to

 $^{^{\}alpha}$ At P = 0.32 (approx.) level

^{*}Range of all records

Table 2. Cost per Hour of Tillage Operations

Type of Operation	Number of Records		of Cost	es ^a of 68% Records Low	Coefficient of Variability
expansive yet gotte, longely being	gee of the	\$	\$	\$	%
Blade	13	3.00	3.45	2.55	15.0
Cultivator	57	2.87	3.26	2.48	13.6
Disk, various types	15	2.71	3.27	2.15	20.7
Disker	39	3.45	3.96	2.94	14.8
Drag Harrow	46	2.50	2.79	2.21	11.6
One-way	33	2.99	3.62	2.36	21.0
Plow	28	2.80	3.17	2.43	13.2
Rod Weeder	16	2.91	3.29	2.53	13.0
Miscellaneous:					
Chisel Plow	4	3.43	3.70*	3.17*	9 (ma – 3
Chisel Plow with Rod Weeder	1	3.56	-	MM 1983	9/Rei#5/1
Cultivator with Drag Harrows	1	4.04		0.04	otesti – O
Cultivator with Rod Weeder	1 1	3.89	bei-/th	1400-x8	915991 — 73
Cultivator with Spikes	2	2.85	-24	_	- M_3
Cultivator with Sweeps	2	2.89		in column	eleviri <u>li</u> că și .
Drag Harrow, heavy duty	1	1.94	4-1 Y	Del _200	BH BHEIGH
One-way with Disker	0 1	3.92	_111	at G <u>d</u> 47%;	insisk±0
Oscillating Harrow	5	2.55	2.95*	2.06*	11415-25
Packer	2	2.54		_ =	## <u>-</u> 9.y
Rod Weeder with Drag Harrow	1	3.71		han <u>u</u> red	ANTE THE
Spring-tooth Harrow	3	2.77	3.09*	2.60*	1912

^aAt P = 0.32 (approx.) level

^{*} Range of all records

Table 3. Cost per Acre of Seeding and Spraying Operations

Тур	e of Ope	eration		Numb of Recor	Average of all Records		t Records	Coefficient of Variability
					\$	\$	\$	%
Seeding:								
Disker				17	0.70	0.80	0.60	14.3
Drill				44	0.78	1.06	0.50	35.9
One-way				8	1.01	1.32	0.70	30.7
Miscellan	eous:							
Drill w	ith Disk	er and Pa	acker	1	1.24	16- K	Ma-in	unit#
Drill w	ith One-	way		1	1.93	-01	946-m	uni-
Drill w	ith One-	way and	Packer	1	0.80	bee-row	-anO-litte	e imia
Drill ar	nd Packe	er		3	1.04	1.33*	0.83*	onlie
Drill ar	nd Rod W	Veeder		1	0.97	yr 1 90	l bel-ba	inai.
Hoe Dr	ill			1	. 1.16	пи Дрег	of long the	G ac 4
One-wa	y seedir	ng with P	acker	1	0.70	10 - 1 G	ab 694 yb	
Pony P Packer	ress Dri	ill with P	low and	1 1	3.11	- 1	10 - ns	yro -
Press I	Orill			2	1.36	4-	\$ 1410	aporta 1
Spraying:								
Under 30'	Boom			2	0.21	5324	304/3008	neion±
30' - 33'	Boom			22	0.20	0.30	0.10	47.5
Over 33'	Boom			2	0.07	_	moo# *8	E yay 🗅 ,
All Spray	ers			26	0.19	0.29	0.09	51.1

^aAt P = 0.32 (approx.) level

^{*}Range of all records

Table 4. Cost per Hour of Seeding and Spraying Operations

cefficient T of ariability	ype of Ope	eration	lip to	lumb of ecor	lo :		of Cost	Records	Coefficient of Variability
						\$	\$	\$	%
Seeding:									
Disker				17		3.57	3.90	3.24	9.2
Drill				44		3.21	3.93	2.49	22.4
One-wo	ry 07.0			8		3.43	4.24	2.62	23.6
Miscel	laneous:								
Drill	with Disk	er and P	acker	1		4.59	9 hill 10	th Pisk	w IIRA
Drill	with One-	way		1		2.19	3-Щру	th Dhe-	w IIIn Z
Drill	with One-	way and	Packer	1		4.85	way _ and	th One-	w titleto
Drill	and Packe	er E. I		3		3.67	4.04*	3.31*	Drill on
Drill	and Rod V	Veeder		1		3.87	rebee	W bod b	Prill on
Ное	Drill			1		5.78	_	-11	Hoe Dri
One-	way seedir	ng with I	Packer	1		4.15	g with	y <u>w</u> edin	рм-өл-
Pony Pack	Press Dri	ll with I	Plow and	1		3.11	(d5=/ []	rece Dri	Pony P Packer
Pres	s Drill			2		3.72	_	<u>u</u> h(Press I
Spraying:									
Unde	er 30' Boon	n —		2		2.34	_	Beom	Under 30°
30' -	- 33' Boom	08.0		22		2.61	3.10	2.12	18.8
Over	33' Boom			2		2.94	_	m o o8	O=c 33° I
All S	prayers	019 129d		26		2.62	3.09	2.15	17.9

^aAt P = 0.32 (approx.) level

^{*}Range of all records

Table 5. Cost per Acre of Hay Harvesting Operations

Type of Operation	of	Average of all Records	of Cost	s ^a of 68% Records Low	Coefficient of Variability
Tingan-Connung Bychare	3	\$	\$	\$	%
Bale 18 SIJI - MIS	16	2.08	2.90	1:26	39.4
Forage Harvester	2	2.44	2.57*	2.31*	Contime-ham
Mow, tractor types	28	1.12	1.44	0.80	28.6
Rake:					
Dump	5	0.76	1.30*	0.46*	Self-propell
Side delivery	16	0.92	1.20	0.64	30.4
Stacker and sweep rake	5	1.89	3.02*	1.01*	. With Tracto
7.12* 0.82* = _	5, 32,0		4	- be	Self-propall

^aAt P = 0.32 (approx.) level

*Range of all records

Table 6. Cost per Hour of Hay Harvesting Operations

				N. A. L. L.			0 11 7	
Туре	of Oper	ation	Number of Records	of all	of (Cost I	Records	Coefficient of Variability
Variability	wod	High	Ebnoos	\$	noef	\$	\$	%
Bale			16	5.34	6	5.33	4.35	18.5
Forage Harv	ester		2	4.12	11 5	5.14*	3.09*	Binder
Mow, tractor	types		28	2.67	3	3.04	2.30	13.8
Rake:								
Dump			5	2.31	1 2	2.72*	1.49*	Pov <u>e</u> r-take
Side de	elivery		16	2.76	14 3	3.20	2.32	15.9
Stacke	r and sv	veep rake	5	3.66	4	1.44*	1.80*	Swather:

 α At P = 0.32 (approx.) level

*Range of all records

Table 7. Cost per Acre of Grain Harvesting Operations

Type of Operation	Number of Records	118 118 1190	Average of all Records	Extremes ⁶ of Cost I High		Coefficient of Variability
			\$	\$	\$	%
Binder	09.11		1.63	2.14	1.12	31.3
Combine-harvester:						
Auxiliary Motor	16		2.49	3.33	1.65	33.7
Power-take-off	4		1.86	2.58*	1.52*	-
Self-propelled	41		2.75	3.95	1.55	43.5
Swather:						
With Tractor	49		0.68	0.94	0.42	39.0
Self-propelled	4		0.92	1.12*	0.82*	-

 $^{\alpha}$ At P = 0.32 (approx.) level

*Range of all records

Table 8. Cost per Hour of Grain Harvesting Operations

Type of Operation	Number of Records	Average of all Records	Extremes of Cost I		Coefficient of Variability
- 100 us a 13 min - 3					
		\$	\$	\$	%
Binder	*** 11	3.90	5.41	2.39	38.7
Combine-harvester:					
Auxiliary Motor	16	6.62	8.01	5.23	21.0
Power-take-off	4 18	4.85	5.94*	3.88*	growSl u s
Self-propelled	41	9.08	11.26	6.90	24.0
Swather:					
With Tractor	49	3.37	3.87	2.87	14.8
Self-propelled	5000 ST 4	4.68	6.04*	4.09*	± 9 1)+2

^aAt P = 0.32 (approx.) level

*Range of all records

Table 9. Costs of Operating Self-propelled Grain-combines of Different Sizes

		Operat	Operating Costs per Acre					
Size of Grain-Combine	Number of Machines	Average	High of all Records	Low of all Records	Annual Use			
		\$	\$	\$	hr.			
10-foot	6	4.62	5.51	3.68	127			
12-foot	15	3.16	5.03	1.98	103			
14-foot ¹	10	2.10	3.05	1.65	124			
16-foot	9	1.76	2.06	1.15	150			
All Sizes	40	2.80	5.51	1.15	122			
"F-Value" for B	etween Groups	25.74*	_	- :	2.19 (N.S.)			

^{*}Denotes significance at the P = .01 level.

N.S. Denotes non-significance.

¹ Data for one 14-foot combine-harvester with extremely low costs were omitted.

Table 9. Course of Operating Self-ptorelled Commeanbines of Different Stres.

	piegO _{niose}		

Denotes significance at the P = .01 level.

N.S. Denotes non-significance in the Control of the

	3,09		